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Mini-Mock Trials were developed in the 1970s and have proven to be very popular with students (grades 5-12), teachers, and lawyers. If you have developed your own mini-mock trials and would like to share them with other teachers and lawyers throughout the state, please send us a copy and we will include it in the revised versions of the Mini-Mock Trial Manual.
Mock trials conducted within one or two class periods help students learn about courts and trials in an interesting and enjoyable way. Although students obviously will not be as polished as they are in more lengthy mock trial programs, their abilities to quickly become familiar with trial process, to learn their roles, and to discuss rules of evidence and constitutional protections will surprise even the most seasoned observer.

In addition to the value of the learning experience for students, mini-mock trials are an excellent activity for lawyers who want a “guaranteed” success. With only little advance preparation, a lawyer can guide the students through the mock trial experience, helping them develop appropriate questions and then serving as the judge for the trial. Most lawyers are so comfortable with this activity, and find the positive student response so rewarding, that they are usually willing to schedule return engagements.

Students Will

1. Become familiar with the role of a trial court in solving disputes. They will also be introduced to court procedure and decorum. They will also learn about specific areas of law.
2. Develop an appreciation for the importance of various people in the courtroom.
3. Practice communication and critical thinking skills as they prepare and present their case.

Materials Needed
- Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure
- Student Handout: Juror Biography
- Selected Mini-Mock Trial Cases

Time Needed
2 class periods

Grade Level
Grades 5-12

Procedure

1. Begin the class session by discussing trials. Because most students have seen television programs about trials and courts, they already have some basic information. Ask them what programs they have seen. For younger students or students who have limited knowledge of courts and trials, ask the students to list the people who are present in the courtroom. This list will include:

- Lawyers
- Bailiff
- Police officers
- Judge
- Clerks
- Jurors
- Court reporter
- Witnesses
- Defendant
- Public
- Plaintiff
- Sketch artists
(Procedure, continued)

2 Discuss what these people do in the courtroom. For students with more knowledge of courts and trials, begin the discussion by asking who is the most important person in the courtroom. As they answer, ask them why they think the person is important. This will have the students think about the role of the different people in the courtroom.

3 Select one of the cases and read the one paragraph summary of the facts to the students. If the students are skilled in mock trials, do not read the fact summary.

4 Ask the students to volunteer for the parts in the mock trial. Four students should be selected to be the lawyers for each side of the case. One student may present the opening statement, one the direct examination, one the cross-examination, and the other the closing argument, or students may share the tasks. Ask one or two students to help judge the trial. To keep the trial moving, it is extremely helpful to use a lawyer to co-judge the trial. Reserve discussion of objections for later.

5 Also assign students to role play the witnesses, bailiff, media representatives and sketch artists (these students can write articles and prepare drawings for the articles), and members of the jury.

6 Before the start of the actual trial preparation, briefly (in a couple of minutes) describe the steps of a trial as presented in the Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure. Remind students that they will be helped through the process by the judge and that confusion at this point is expected.

7 If students have sufficient background and understanding of the trial process, explain the reasons and grounds for objections. (It is recommended that only a limited number of objections be allowed.) Refer to the list of objections in the Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure. If they lack knowledge, reserve discussion of objections until one occurs during the trial. (No matter how old the students are, one will object to a question during the trial. The objection might be made in the form of “She can’t do that, can she?” or “This isn’t fair!” Regardless of the language used, the students usually have made the objections at appropriate times. They are now ready to learn about objections.)

   Explain to the students that objections are used when lawyers feel that the other side is not obeying the rules. All court procedures are governed by many rules. Lawyers are required to conduct the trial according to the rules. It is the judge’s responsibility to decide if a lawyer has broken a rule. If a judge agrees that a rule has been violated, the judge sustains the objection. If the judge feels that the lawyer has not violated the rules, he or she overrules the objection. If an objection is sustained, the witness’ answer is not allowed. If an objection is overruled, the witness is allowed to answer the questions. (The rulings by the judge can be the grounds for appeals.)
Tell students they will have approximately 10 minutes to prepare. Although this is a short period of time, the facts of the cases are simple, and a longer period of time results in a restless jury.

Provide the following instructions:

**Lawyers**
Tell them to read the facts and all of the witness statements (including the witnesses for the other side). They are to prepare an opening statement, questions for all witnesses, and a closing argument. Have them use the Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure in their preparation.

**Witnesses**
Tell each witness to read his or her statement at least three times so that he or she will be prepared to answer questions. Each witness should then work with the lawyers from their side to help prepare questions. Witnesses may make up facts that are consistent with story line.

**Judge**
Tell the judge to read Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure and be prepared to call the witnesses.

**Bailiff**
Tell the bailiff to review the procedure for the oath that he or she will administer to each witness. The Bailiff and Judge should fill in the blanks on the first page of the Student Handout: Mock Trial Procedure.

**Jurors**
Ask them to imagine who they will be in twenty years and complete Student Handout: Juror Biography form.

---

**Sample questions include**

- Is there anyone who feels he or she cannot be fair in this case?
- Is anyone related to or does anyone know persons involved in this case?
- Does anyone stand to benefit from a decision in this case?
- Has anyone already formed an opinion about the case?

Explain to the students that jurors are removed from the jury for various reasons. Attorneys can challenge for cause any juror who exhibits a bias for or against any one of the parties. Each attorney also has a certain number of challenges called peremptory challenges which can be used to strike a juror without giving a reason. For example, a defense attorney may get the impression that a prospective juror simply doesn’t like the defendant and will then use a peremptory challenge to prevent that person from being on the jury. For mock trials, each side is limited to one peremptory challenge.

Make certain to leave enough people in the jury to decide the case. Persons removed from the jury should be assigned the role of media representatives and moved from the jury box. Ask them to pretend that they are going to write a news story about the trial and that they should take notes on points they think are important.
(Procedure, continued)

11. The trial begins with opening statements from both sides (plaintiff/prosecution first), followed by the examination (questioning) of the witnesses (plaintiff/prosecution case first) and, finally, closing arguments (plaintiff/prosecution first). The trial will take 45 minutes to 1 hour. Remember, the goal of this activity is to increase the students' knowledge of courts and trials. Do not expect them to sound like experienced trial lawyers. You will enjoy watching them develop their questions and arguments on objections and listen to the answers with great care.

12. Instruct the jury at the end of the trial using the jury instructions contained in each trial. Mock trial juries usually require only a few minutes to reach a verdict. After they have announced the verdict, ask them to explain how they decided on it.

13. Ask the media representatives what kind of story they would have written. What was most newsworthy about the trial? What would grab the reader’s attention? Did they agree with the jury’s decision? Who gave the strongest testimony? (If time is running out, this step can be done while the jury is deliberating.)

14. Debrief the trial. Encourage all students to participate in the discussion of the trial.

**Questions that facilitate discussion include**

- What were the strong and weak points of each side?
- What additional information would have been helpful?
- Who was the most believable witness? Why?
- Did any of the students change their minds during the trial? When and why?
- Are there other ways that the problem could have been settled? What would have been the advantages or disadvantages?

15. Complete the activity with a short discussion of the need for citizens to participate in the process. Ask them what they will remember to do if they witness an action or are asked to serve on a jury.
Opening of Trial

**Bailiff**

“Please rise. The Court of __________________________ is now in session, the Honorable __________________________ presiding.”

(Everyone remains standing until the Judge is seated.)

**Judge**

“Ms./Mr. __________________________ (Bailiff’s name), what is today’s case?”

**Bailiff**

“Your Honor, today’s case is __________________________.”

**Judge**

“Is the prosecution ready? Is the defense ready?”

**Attorneys**

“Yes, your Honor.” (Always say “your Honor” when speaking to the judge.)

Trial Procedure

1. **Opening Statement**

Prosecution/plaintiff attorney introduces himself or herself and states what his or her side hopes to prove. Begin with “Your Honor, members of the jury,” then state what the facts on your side will show and ask for a verdict in favor of your side.

Defense attorney then says, “Your Honor, members of the jury,” introduces himself or herself and explains the evidence on his or her side that will deny what the other side is attempting to prove. Ask for a verdict of not guilty (criminal case) or for the defense (civil cases).

**Attorneys**

“Your Honor, members of the jury, my name is __________________________

and I and my classmates are representing __________________________ in this case.

We intend to prove __________________________.

Please find __________________________.”
2. The Oath

All witnesses are sworn in before they begin answering questions. This is to remind them that they must tell the truth.

Bailiff "Please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

3. Direct Examination

Prosecution/plaintiff calls its first witness to the stand and asks clear and simple questions that allow the witness to tell his or her side of the story in his or her own words. Witnesses may make up answers to questions that are not included in the witness statements or the witnesses may say "I don't know."

Suggestions for questions
- How do you know the defendant?
- What do you know about the case?
- What happened?
- What do you remember?
- What happened next?

Remember to ask questions that will let the witness tell the complete story.

Your Questions

4. Cross-Examination

Defense/respondent attorney questions witnesses for the other side to try to prove that the witness is lying or can't remember. For example, the lawyer may ask "Isn't it true that you really couldn't see because it was almost dark outside?"

If possible, ask questions that call for a yes or no answer.

Your Questions

Suggestions for questions
- Isn't it true that...?
5. After all the prosecution/plaintiff witnesses have been questioned and cross-examined, the defense calls its witnesses and questions them under direct examination. Then the prosecutor/plaintiff cross-examines.

6. Closing Argument

Each side summarizes the testimony presented during the questioning in a way that will convince the jury to believe his or her side of the case. In a criminal case, prosecution asks the jury to find the defendant guilty. In a civil case, ask the jury to find that the plaintiff wins.

Defense asks the jury to find the defendant not guilty. In a civil case, ask the jury to find that the defendant wins.

"Your Honor, members of the jury, today you have heard testimony about __________________________.

I would like to remind you of some important information that you should consider in your decision. These facts include ________________________________.

Please find ________________________________.

7. Jury Deliberation

After hearing the judge’s instructions, the jurors meet to decide guilty or not guilty (criminal case) or to find for the plaintiff or defendant (civil case), and then give their decision to the judge.

Objections

Any attorney may object to a question or the admission of an exhibit. The judge will usually ask the person objecting “on what rule of evidence are you relying?” Then the judge either sustains the objection preventing the evidence from being introduced or overrules the objection allowing the question or exhibit to be admitted as evidence.

Reasons for objections (also known as grounds for objection or the Rules of Evidence being relied upon);

Leading questions

Prosecutors must allow their witnesses to tell their own stories; they must not lead their witnesses through the story. Defense attorneys must following the same rule when questioning their witnesses.

Immaterial and irrelevant

The information is not closely related to the case, and is therefore not important.

Opinions and conclusions

Unless the witness is an expert (such as a doctor testifying about medical issues), he or she should not give professional opinions or conclusions.

Nonresponsive answer

The witness is not answering the question asked.

These are only a few objections. They are probably the most common ones used. They will adequately serve your needs.
Imagine you are 20 years older:

Name

_______________________________________________________________________________________

Address

_____________________________________________________________________________________

County

______________________________________________________________________________________

Phone

_______________________________________________________________________________________

Spouse’s name

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Ages of children

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Parent’s name

____________________________________________________________________________________

Most memorable childhood event

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Education Completed

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Physical condition that would make it hard to be on the jury

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Religious affiliation

____________________________________________________________________________________

Occupation Employer

____________________________________________________________________________________

Salary

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Organizations of which you are a member

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Military service

____________________________________________________________________________________

Public service

____________________________________________________________________________________

Hobbies/Interests

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

Have you had any contact with the legal system? If so, what?

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

Other information about yourself

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

_____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________
The jury will determine whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty based upon the facts of the case, the credibility of the witnesses’ testimony, and the law which applies to the case. Use this sheet to follow the proceedings of the trial. As the prosecution presents its case, record the legal arguments made by the attorneys, facts presented by the witnesses and your impressions of the credibility (believability) of the witnesses.

**Facts learned from witness testimony**

Witness 1
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Witness 2
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Witness 3
_________________________________________________________________________________

**To Believe or Not to Believe**

Circle the response which most closely corresponds with what you think of each witness:

SA = Strongly Agree   A = Agree   D = Disagree   SD = Strongly Disagree

Witness 1  _______________________________________________  was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD
Witness 2  _______________________________________________  was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD
Witness 3  _______________________________________________  was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD

**Prosecution’s Closing Arguments:**
How did the prosecution use the facts from the witnesses to prove its case?
Jury Observation Sheet and Checklist

**Defense**

**Defendant’s Opening Statement:**
What did the defense say it would try to prove in this case?

**Facts learned from witness testimony**

Witness 1
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

Witness 2
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

Witness 3
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

**To Believe or Not to Believe**

Circle the response which most closely corresponds with what you think of each witness:
SA = Strongly Agree  A = Agree  D = Disagree  SD = Strongly Disagree

Witness 1  ___________________________________________ was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD

Witness 2  ___________________________________________ was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD

Witness 3  ___________________________________________ was a believable witness  SA  A  D  SD

**Defendant’s Closing Arguments:**
How did the defense use the facts from the witnesses to prove its case?
1. After the bailiff has called the court to order, judge enters courtroom and sits at bench. The judge tells everyone to be seated.

2. After introductory comments (from trial procedure handout), the judge conducts jury selection by asking questions to identify potential jurors who will not be able to be fair.

   The judge should let the attorneys ask questions. Students who answer yes to these questions should be removed from the jury and ask to serve as media representatives. Instruct them to sit in an area away from the jury.

   **Suggested Questions**
   - ? Is there anyone who feels he or she cannot be fair in this case?
   - ? Is anyone related to or does anyone know persons involved in the case?
   - ? Has anyone already formed an opinion about the case?
   - ? Other questions related to the subject matter of the case.

   **Instructions for Judges**
   - S = ?
   - Sustained = Answer Not Allowed
   - Agree that a rule has been broken
   - O = ?
   - Overruled = Answer allowed
   - Do not think a rule has been broken

3. Thank the jury for serving. Instruct them to ignore anything they have heard about the case from sources outside of the courtroom. Also ask them to listen carefully to the testimony and to raise a hand if they cannot hear.

4. Ask prosecution/plaintiff to begin with the opening statement. Ask lawyers to stand at their table. Then ask defense to do the same.

5. Ask prosecution/plaintiff to call its first witness. Ask bailiff to swear in witness, then ask witness to state name. Instruct attorney to begin direct examination.

6. Ask defense to question the witness. This is called cross-examination.

7. If time permits, allow both sides to continue until there are no more questions.

8. Repeat steps 5-7 for each witness. When defense lawyers call their witnesses, they will conduct the direct examination of those witnesses and the prosecution/plaintiff will conduct the cross-examination.

9. Take a two-minute recess to give the attorneys time to complete their closing arguments. Ask both sides to present their closing arguments, prosecution/plaintiff goes first.

10. Instruct the jury with the instructions provided at the end of each trial. Ask the jury to remove themselves from the courtroom and to decide the case.

11. When the jury returns with its decision, ask for the verdict.